∕JS-44 (Rev 11/04)

Case 2:12-cv-02554-BMS. Document 1. Filed 05/09/12 Page 1 of 29

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

initiating	the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON TH	E REVERSE OF THE	3 FORIVI.)								
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS								
	Quiana White				I.C. System, Inc.							
(b)	County of Residence of Plaintiff	of First Listed Mo	ontgomery, PA		County of Resider	nce of Fire	st Liste	d Defendant Ramse	y County, l	MN		
	(I	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ASES)				(IN U.	S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONI	LY)	-	-	_
						AND CON D INVOLV		TION CASES, USE THE L	OCATION OF	THE		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)								
Vicki Piontek, Esquire 951 Allentown Rd, Lansdale PA 19446 877-737-8617				Sarah E. Holmes, Esquire, Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin 1845 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103 215-575-2757								
II. BAS	SIS OF JURISDICT	TION (Place an "X" in One	Box Only)		CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place An "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and							
☐ 1. U.S. Government Plaintiff		☑ 3. Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Onl	y) PTF	DEF	One Box	for Defendant	t) 'TF	DEF	
				Cit	zen of This State	⊠ 1	- 1	Incorporated or Principa of Business in This State		4	□ 4	
	. Government Defendant	☑ 4. Diversity (Indicates Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			Citizen of Another State		⊠ 2	Incorporated and Princip] 5	□ 5	
	Detendant			Sta				of Business in Another S	State			
				Citizen of Subject of a Foreign Country		□ 3	☐ 3 Foreign Nation		6 6		□ 6	
IV. NA	TURE OF SUIT (PI	ace an "X" in One Box Only)		1 01	orgin Country							
	CONTRACT	TO	PRTS		FORFEITURE/P	ENALTY		BANKRUPTCY	OTHER	STAT	UTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits. □ 160 Stockholder's Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 195 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent, Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property		PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS		ury – actice ury –	☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs ☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health ☐ 690 Other LABOR ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act		P)	□ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark □ 840 Consum □ 490 Cable/Se □ 810 Selectiv □ 850 Securities □ 850 Securities □ 850 Securities □ 875 Custome □ 12 USC (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609 □ 950 Constitue		rrust Is and Es merce or retained to the control of	and Banking of the control of the co	
V. OR □ 1					Reinstated or	5 Trans	sferred i			7 Ju	eal to District	
Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened another district Litigation Magistrate (specify) Judgment												
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices Act												
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		A DE	DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No									
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions) JUDGE				DOCKET NUMBER								
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD May 9, 2012												
FOR OI	FFICE USE ONLY		<u> </u>		- 0							
RECEIP	Т#	AMOUNT	APPLYING I	FP	JUDO	GE		MAG. JU	DGE			

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney or record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.

U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

QUIANA WHITE

Civil Action No.

Plaintiff,

vs.

I.C. SYSTEM, INC., JOHN DOES 1-10 AND X, Y, Z CORPORATIONS

Defendants.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331, Defendant, I.C. System, Inc., by and through its Counsel, Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin, P.C., hereby removes the action entitled Quiana White v. I.C. System, Inc., John Does 1-10 and X,Y,Z Corporations, docket no. 07651, as filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County, Pennsylvania ("the Action"), to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, based upon the following:

- 1. On or about March 28, 2012, Plaintiff filed the Action in the Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County, Pennsylvania. A true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Complaint in the Action is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
- 2. I.C. System, Inc. first received notice of the Action on or around April 23, 2012, when it was served with Plaintiff's Complaint via certified mail.
- 3. Based on the foregoing, I.C. System, Inc. has timely filed this Notice of Removal within thirty days of being served with the Complaint and

within thirty days of the date that the Action was first removable. See 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

- 4. The Action is a civil action of which this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and is one which may be removed to this Court by I.C. System, Inc. pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b), in that Plaintiff has alleged, *inter alia*, that I.C. System, Inc. violated the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq., thereby asserting a claim that arises under federal law.
- 5. In that the causes of action alleged by the Plaintiff arise from the performance of obligations of the parties within Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania should be assigned the Action.
- 6. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), I.C. System, Inc. will file a copy of this Notice of Removal with the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, will serve Plaintiff with copies of this Notice of Removal and will file the Notice of Removal in the Montgomery County Court of Common Pleas.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, I.C. System, Inc., notifies this Court that this cause is removed from the Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County, Pennsylvania to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of

Pennsylvania pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§1331, and 1446.

Respectfully submitted,

MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WARNER, COLEMAN & GOGGIN, P.C.

By:

SARAH E. HOLMES, ESQUIRE 1845 Walnut Street, 17th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 575-2757 / (215) 575-0856 (f)

seholmes@mdwcg.com Attorney for Defendant, I.C. System, Inc.

Dated: May 9, 2012

01/7883127.v1

EXHIBIT "A"

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL ACTION-LAW APR 28 2012

Quiana White c/o Piontek Law Office

951 Allentown Road Lansdale, PA 19446

2012-07651

Plaintiff

I.C. System, Inc. 444 Highway 96 East P.O. Box 64378 Saint. Paul, MN 55164

and

Vs.

John Does 1-10

and

X,Y,Z Corporations

Jury Trial Demanded

5/11/2012

19863704

Defendants

NOTICE

YOU HAVE BEEN SUED IN COURT. IF YOU WISH TO DEFEND AGAINST THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THE FOLLOWING PAGES, YOU MUST TAKE ACTION WITHIN TWENTY (20) DAYS AFTER THE COMPLAINT AND NOTICE ARE SERVED BY ENTERING A WRITTEN APPEARANCE PERSONALLY OR BY ATTORNEY AND FILING IN WRITING WITH THE COURT YOUR DEFENSES OR OBJECTIONS TO THE CLAIMS SET FORTH AGAINST YOU. YOU ARE WARNED THAT IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO THE CASE MAY PROCEED WITHOUT YOU AND A JUDGMENT MAY BE ENTERED AGAINST YOU BY THE COURT WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE FOR ANY MONEY CLAIMED OR FOR ANY OTHER CLAIM OR RELIEF REQUESTED BY THE DEFENDANT. YOU MAY LOSE MONEY OR PROPERTY OR OTHER RIGHTS IMPORTANT TO YOU.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

LAWYER REFERENCE SERVICE MONTGOMERY COUNTY BAR ASSOCATION 100 West.Airy Street (REAR), NORRISTOWN, PA 19401 (610) 279-9660, EXTENSION 201

> Montgomery County Legal Aid Services 625 Swede Street, Norristown, PA 19401 610-275-5400

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL ACTION-LAW

2012 Maps 30 10 22 19

Quiana White

c/o Piontek Law Office

951 Allentown Road Lansdale, PA 19446

Plaintiff

Defendants

Vs.

I.C. System, Inc. 444 Highway 96 East P.O. Box 64378 Saint. Paul, MN 55164

and

John Does 1-10

and

X,Y,Z Corporations

2012-07651

Jury Trial Demanded

COMPLAINT

INTRODUCTION

This is a lawsuit for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendant(s)'
alleged violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692, et seq.
(hereinafter "FDCPA).



JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. All previous paragraphs of this complaint are incorporated by reference and made a part of this complaint
- 3. Venue is proper in this jurisdiction because Defendant(s) regularly transact(s) business throughout this jurisdiction.
- 4. Defendant obtains the benefit(s) of regularly transacting business in this jurisdiction.
- 5. A substantially portion of the conduct complained of occurred near this jurisdiction.

PARTIES

- 6. All previous paragraphs of this complaint are incorporated by reference and made a part of this complaint.
- 7. Plaintiff is Quiana White, an adult individual with a current address of c/o Piontek Law Office, 951 Allentown Road, Lansdale, PA 19446.
- 8. Defendant is I.C. System, Inc., a Delaware Corporation, engaged in consumer debt collection with a principle place of business located at I.C. System, Inc. 444 Highway 96 East, P.O. Box 64378, Saint. Paul, MN 55164.
- 9. Defendants may include John Does 1-10, persons whose names and identities are not known to Plaintiff at this time, or whose liability is not know, but which may become known to Plaintiff following discovery. It is believed and averred that such Does played a substantial role in the commission of the acts described in this Complaint.
 - 10. Defendants may include X,Y,Z Corporations, business entities whose names and identities are not known to Plaintiff at this time, or whose liability is not know, but which may become known to Plaintiff following discovery. It is believed and averred that such entities played a substantial role in the commission of the acts described in this Complaint.

COUNT ONE: VIOLATION OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, 15 USC 1692, et. seq.

Use of False and Fake Caller ID

- 11. All previous paragraphs of this complaint are incorporated by reference and made a part of this portion of the complaint.
- 12. Plaintiff is a consumer debtor as defined by the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act (FDCPA), 15 USC 1692 et. Seq.
- 13. Defendant is a debt collector as defined by the FDCPA, 15 USC 1692 et. seq.
- 14. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant(s) was attempting to collect on an alleged consumer debt against Plaintiff.
- 15. When Defendant called Plaintiff, Defendant used the phone number 484-601-7123 on Plaintiff's called ID as the identification number.
- 16. 484-601-7123 is a Pennsylvania phone number.
- 17. Defendant(s) does not have any offices in Pennsylvania.
- 18. None of Defendant(s)' call centers are located in Pennsylvania.
- 19. None of Defendant(s)' calls originate from Pennsylvania.

- 20. It is believed and averred that that the calls from Defendant(s) to Plaintiff originated outside the state of Pennsylvania.
- 21. It is believed and averred that Defendant does not have a place of business located in Pennsylvania.
- 22. It is believed and averred that the phone number 484-601-7123 which Defendant(s) caused to appear on the caller ID was deceptive and confusing to the consumer because the appearance of the 484-601-7123 phone number gave the false impression to the consumer that the phone call was coming from Pennsylvania when in fact the phone call was not coming from Pennsylvania.
- 23. It is believed and averred that the use of the false caller ID was a violation of 15 USC 1692e, failure to disclose identity, and 15 USC 1692 f deceptive and unconscionable collection practices.

COUNT TWO: VIOLATION OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, 15 USC 1692, et. seq.

(Unauthorized Disclosure to Unauthorized 3rd Party; or in the Alternative, Failure to Make Required Disclosures in a Communication)

- 24. All previous paragraphs of this complaint are incorporated by reference and made a part of this portion of the complaint.
- 25. Plaintiff is a consumer debtor as defined by the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act (FDCPA), 15 USC 1692 et. Seq.
- 26. Defendant(s) is a debt collector as defined by the FDCPA, 15 USC 1692 et. seq.
- 27. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant(s) was attempting to collect on an alleged consumer debt against Plaintiff.
- 28. At all times mentioned herein, the events described in this Complaint took place within the applicable statute of limitations, during the Year of Our Lord 2012.
- 29. At all times mentioned herein, Plaintiff shared a residence and a telephone line with her brother, Icarus Harmon.
- 30. On or about March 21, 2012, Plaintiff's brother forwarded the shared residential line to his cell phone so he did not miss an important call that day.

- 31. On or about March 21, 2012, Defendant(s) contacted the residential telephone line that was shared between Plaintiff and her brother, in an effort to contact Plaintiff about an alleged consumer debt.
- 32. Said phone call on or about March 21, 2012 was then forwarded to Plaintiff's brother's cell phone.
- 33. Defendant(s) did not leave a message, but the call showed up on Plaintiff's brother's missed call log on Plaintiff's brother' cell phone.
- 34. Plaintiff's brother was used to getting calls from his own debt collectors at the time.
- 35. The missed call log on Plaintiff's brother's cell phone contained numbers from both Plaintiff's brother's debt collectors as well as Plaintiff's debt collectors since Plaintiff and her brother shared the residential line which had been forwarded to Plaintiff's brother's cell phone.
- 36. On or about March 21, 2012, Plaintiff's brother, Icarus Harmon, decided to check and see which debt collectors might be calling him that day, so he examined the missed call log on his cell phone number.
- 37. Plaintiff's brother decided to call some of the debt collector telephone numbers that showed up on his missed call log on his cell phone.
- 38. One of the missed calls that showed up on Plaintiff's cell phone was 484-601-7123 which was assigned to Defendant(s).

- 39. Plaintiff's brother did not know why IC Systems was calling, so he decided to call Defendant(s), IC Systems to see why they were calling.
- 40. During the call that took place on March 21, 2012, Plaintiff's brother asked Defendant(s) why they were calling his phone.
- 41. During said conversation, it was revealed that Defendant(s) was trying to contact Plaintiff, and not Plaintiff's brother.
- 42. During said conversation, Defendant(s) asked to speak to Plaintiff. Plaintiff's brother then told Defendant that his sister (Plaintiff) was not available.
- 43. Defendant(s) then proceeded to leave a message with Plaintiff's brother, and asked Plaintiff's brother to have Plaintiff give Defendant(s) a call back at a particular telephone number which Defendant gave to Plaintiff's brother and Plaintiff's brother made a note of.
- 44. Said message was initiated by Defendant(s), and not solicited in any way by Plaintiff's brother.
- 45. Said message was not for the purpose of acquiring location information about Plaintiff, but rather for communicating information about the alleged consumer debt that Defendant(s) was trying to collect from Plaintiff.
- 46. At no time did Plaintiff authorize Defendant(s) to communicate about the alleged debt with Plaintiff's brother.

- 47. The above referenced message(s) left by Defendant with Plaintiff's brother was / were a communication as defined by 15 USC 1692(a)(2). See Foti v. NCO Financial Systems, Inc., 424 F. Supp.2d 643 (S.D.N.Y. 2006); INMAN v. NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Docket Number NO. 08-5866; Edwards v. NIAGARA CREDIT SOLUTIONS, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, Docket Number 08-17006. See GRYZBOWSKI v. I.C. SYSTEM, INC 3:CV-08-1884, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA (2010).
- 48. Every telephone message left by a debt collector must give meaningful disclosure of the debt collector's identity, as well as state that the purpose of the call is to collect a debt. See Foti v. NCO Financial Systems, Inc., 424 F. Supp.2d 643 (S.D.N.Y. 2006); INMAN v. NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Docket Number NO. 08-5866; Edwards v. NIAGARA CREDIT SOLUTIONS, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, Docket Number 08-17006. See GRYZBOWSKI v. I.C. SYSTEM, INC 3:CV-08-1884, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA (2010).
- 49. Said message(s) from Defendant(s) to Plaintiff's brother violated the FDCPA, 15 USC 1692 d (6) et. seq. because the message(s) did not disclose the identity of the debt collector.

- 50. Said message from Defendant(s) to Plaintiff's mother and / or Plaintiff's teenage daughter violated 15 USC 1692 e (11) of the FDCPA because the message(s) not disclose that the nature and purpose of the call was to collect a debt.
- 51. The FDCPA does not guarantee the right of the debt collector to leave messages.
 Edwards v. NIAGARA CREDIT SOLUTIONS, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, Docket Number 08-17006.
- 52. What Defendant(s) should have done while speaking to Plaintiff's brother was ask if Plaintiff was there, and if not, then say goodbye and call back later.
- 53. Defendant(s) did not have a right to leave a message with Plaintiff's brother, because the FDCPA does not guarantee the right of a debt collector to leave telephone messages with third parties. Edwards v. NIAGARA CREDIT SOLUTIONS, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, Docket Number 08-17006.

LIABILITY

- 54. All previous paragraphs of this complaint are incorporated by reference and made a part of this complaint.
- 55. Defendant(s) is liable to Plaintiff(s) for the acts committed by its agents under the doctrine of respondent superior because Defendant's agents were acting within the scope of their employment with Defendant.
- 56. In the alternative, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff(s) under the doctrine of negligent supervision.
- 57. In the alternative, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff(s) under the doctrine of the non-deligible duty.
- 58. In the alternative, Defendant(s) is liable for the conduct of its agents / employees under the theory of joint and several liability because Defendant and its agents / employees were engaged in a joint venture and were acting jointly and in concert.
- 59. Any mistake made by Defendant would have included a mistake of law.
- 60. Any mistake made by Defendant would not have been a reasonable bona fide mistake.

DAMAGES

- 61. All previous paragraphs of this complaint are incorporated by reference and made a part of this portion of the complaint.
- 62. At least \$1.00 actual damages, including but not limited to phone, fax, stationary, postage, etc.
- 63. Plaintiff believes and avers that Plaintiff is entitled to \$1,000.00 statutory under the FDCPA 15 USC 1692k.
- 64. Plaintiff suffered emotional distress with a Dollar value to be proven at trial.

ATTORNEY FEES

65. All previous paragraphs	of this complaint are incorporated	by reference and made a part
of this complaint.		

- 66. Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable attorney fees in this matter at a rate of no less than \$350 per hour, or other rate that this Honorable Court deems just and fare, pursuant the fee shifting provision of the FDCPA.
- 67. Plaintiff's attorney fees of \$1,925.00 at a rate of \$350.00 per hour, are enumerated below.
- a. Consultation with client .5
- b. Drafting, editing and review and service of complaint and related documents 2
- c. Follow up With Defense 2

- 68. Plaintiff's attorney fees continue to accrue as the case move forward.
- **69.** The above stated attorney fees include prosecution of this complaint to the date of the instant filing and reasonable follow up.

OTHER RELIEF

- 70. All previous paragraphs of this complaint are incorporated by reference and made a part of this portion of the complaint.
- 71. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief barring further unlawful collection activity.
- 72. Plaintiff seeks such other relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper.
- 73. Plaintiff requests a jury trial in this matter.

Wherefore, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant(s) in the amount of no less than \$2,926.00.

3-29-2012

\$1.00 actual damages more or less for postage, stationary, fax, etc.

\$1,000.00 statutory damages under the FDCPA

\$1,925 attorney fees

\$2,926

Plaintiff seeks such other relief as the Court deems just and fair.

Vicki Piontek, Esquire

Supreme Court ID Number 83559

Attorney for Plaintiff 951 Allentown Road

Lansdale, PA 19446

717 522 7470

717-533-7472

Fax: 866-408-6735 palaw@justice.com

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL ACTION-LAW

Quiana White c/o Piontek Law Office 951 Allentown Road Lansdale, PA 19446

Plaintiff

Vs. 1.C. System, Inc. 444 Highway 96 East P.O. Box 64378 Saint. Paul, MN 55164 and

Jury Trial Demanded

John Does 1-10 and X,Y,Z Corporations

Defendants

VERIFICATION

I, Quiana White, have read the attached complaint. The facts stated in the complaint are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, understanding and belief.

 I.C. System, Inc.
 :

 444 Highway 96 East
 :

 P.O. Box 64378
 :

 Saint. Paul, MN 55164
 :



Piontek Law Office 951 Allentown Road Lansdale, PA 19446



7010 1870 0002 9571 4396

UNITED STATES
POSTAL SERVICE

1000

55164

U.S. POSTAGE PAID PHILADELPHIA,PA 19107 APR 18,12 AMOUNT

\$6.35

RETURN PECEIPT REQUESTED

EE1 8430376 BOSD

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

[. C .	v. SYSTEM., ET AL.	: : :		
[.C.		: :		
.C.	SYSTEM., ET AL.	•		
		:	NO.	
olain iling side lesig olain hat o	tiff shall complete a Case Me the complaint and serve a complaint and serve a confidence of this form.) In the even gnation, that defendant shall, tiff and all other parties, a confidence of the case shall of t	Management Track Designate opy on all defendants. (See that a defendant does not with its first appearance, surplesses management track designould be assigned.	Reduction Plan of this court, co- cion Form in all civil cases at the § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the ot agree with the plaintiff regar bmit to the clerk of court and ser gnation form specifying the track	ne time of the reverse ding said trye on the
	Liebase Courses Coses busses			
a)	Habeas Corpus-Cases broug	nt under 28 U.S.C. §2241 th	rough §2255.	()
(b)	Social Security-Cases request and Human Services denying			()
(c)	Arbitration-Cases require t	to be designated for arbitration	on under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
d)	Asbestos-Cases involving exposure to asbestos.	claims for personal injury or	r property damage from	()
e)	commonly referred to as co	s that do not fall into tracks omplex and that need specia e of this form for a detailed	or intense management by	()
f)	Standard ManagementCa	ases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(X)
May Dat	y 9, 2012 e	Attorney-at-law Sarah E. Holmes, Esquire	I.C. System, Inc. Attorney for Defendant	
(0.1)	s) 585 0858	(015) 0055	seholmes@mdwcg.com	
··	5) 575-2757 ephone	(215) 575-0856 FAX Number	E-Mail Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 - Assignment to a Management Track

- (a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- (b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management of Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- (c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- (d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate of limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- (e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 3 or 7, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See § 1.02(e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholder's stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions of potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA – DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.					
Address of Plaintiff Quiana White c/o Vicki Piontek, Esquire - 951 Allentown Road, Lansdale, PA 19446					
Address of DefendantI.C. System, Inc. c/o Sarah E. Holmes, Esc	quire - 1845 Walnut Street, 17th Fl., Philadelphia, PA 19103				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction Montgomery County,					
	(Use Reverse Side for Additional Space)				
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party wi (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance	th any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more if its stock? e with Fed.R.Civ.P. 8.1(a)) Yes \(\Boxed{\Boxes}\) No \(\Boxed{\Boxes}\)				
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Yes □ No ⊠				
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated				
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the fo	bllowing questions:				
	ed suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?				
	Yes □ No 🏻				
	the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated				
action in this court?	Yes ☐ No ☒				
	at already in suit or any earlier number case pending or within one year previously				
terminated action in this court?	Yes ☐ No 🏻				
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)					
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases				
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Co	ontracts 1.				
2. FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury				
3.	3. Assault, Defamation				
4. Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury				
5. Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury				
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)				
7. Civil Rights	7. Products Liability				
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability – Asbestos				
9.	9. All other Diversity Cases				
10.	(Please specify)				
11. All other Federal Question Cases					
(Please specify) FDCPA					
(Che	TION CERTIFICATION ck appropriate Category)				
·	record do hereby certify:				
	he best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case				
exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;					
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.					
	rah E. Holmes 202587				
Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D. #					
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.					
·	rah E. Holmes 202587				
	torney-at-Law Attorney I.D. #				